



**THE PERFORMANCE  
PRACTICE**

LEARN. IMPROVE. REPEAT.

**PRINCIPLES & PROOF POINTS**

**PILLAR 6**

September 2018

*Developed collaboratively by the*  
**LEAP OF REASON  
AMBASSADORS COMMUNITY**

---

# CCL AND COPYRIGHT INFO

---



This document, developed collaboratively by the Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community (LAC), is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). We encourage and grant permission for the distribution and reproduction of copies of this material in its entirety (with original attribution). Please refer to the Creative Commons link for license terms for unmodified use of LAC documents.

Because we recognize, however that certain situations call for modified uses (adaptations or derivatives), we offer permissions beyond the scope of this license (the "CC Plus Permissions"). The CC Plus Permissions are defined as follows:

*You may adapt or make derivatives (e.g., remixes, excerpts, or translations) of this document, so long as they **do not**, in the reasonable discretion of the Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community, alter or misconstrue the document's meaning or intent. The adapted or derivative work is to be licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), conveyed at no cost (or the cost of reproduction,) and used in a manner consistent with the purpose of the Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community, with the integrity and quality of the original material to be maintained, and its use to not adversely reflect on the reputation of the Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community.*

Attribution is to be in the following formats:

- For unmodified use of this document, the attribution information already contained in the document is to be maintained intact.
- For adaptations or derivatives of this document, attribution should be prominently displayed and should substantially follow this format:

“From ‘The Performance Practice,’ developed collaboratively by the Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community, licensed under CC BY ND <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>”

The above is consistent with Creative Commons License restrictions that require “appropriate credit” be required and the “name of the creator and attribution parties, a copyright notice, a license notice, a disclaimer notice and a link to the original material” be included.

The Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community may revoke the additional permissions described above at any time. For questions about copyright issues or special requests for use beyond the scope of this license, please email us at [info@leapambassadors.org](mailto:info@leapambassadors.org).

Copyright © 2018 Morino Institute  
(Provisional holder of copyright for Leap of Reason Ambassadors Community)

**Principle 6.1:** The board, management, and staff work together to **establish clear metrics**, tightly aligned with the results they want to achieve, for each program and for the organization as a whole.

6.1.1: My organization has determined what we need to measure internally to continuously improve delivery of programs and confirm whether we are on track to achieve our intended results.

6.1.2: Our management and staff have identified what information we need for analysis of long-term patterns, trends, and correlations. (For example, how have the numbers served, outcomes, and cost per outcome changed over the past 24 months?)

**Principle 6.2:** Management and staff **produce frequent reports on how well the organization is implementing its programs and strategies**. Management and staff use these reports to chart course corrections and make operational and programmatic improvements on an ongoing basis.

6.2.1: My organization's data systems provide reports to help us gauge organizational and program effectiveness and drive improvements in all facets of our organization.

6.2.2: My organization has staff responsible for our data systems' implementation and maintenance. Responsibilities include:

- providing ongoing training
- supporting staff who have difficulties entering or accessing data
- developing reports that show if the organization is achieving intended results
- analyzing data in an ongoing way for quality assurance
- ensuring data is accurate and entered in a timely manner
- ensuring that the right people have the right information at the right time in the right form
- planning system enhancements.

**Principle 6.3:** Management and staff **make the collection, analysis, and use of data part of the organization's DNA**. They ensure that people throughout the organization understand the key metrics. And they invest in helping staff gain comfort in working with data as a natural part of their job.

6.3.1: My organization's staff—from the front lines to managers and executives—have access to data that help them do their jobs effectively on an everyday basis.

6.3.2: My organization's leadership regularly shares program and overall organization results with staff and board, allowing for questions, celebrating successes, and learning from failures.

**Principle 6.4:** Management and staff **don't collect excessive information**. They focus on collecting information that is relevant for determining how well they are achieving the desired results, understanding what mix of efforts is critical to achieving those results, and continuously improving their results over time. Ideally, applying this information makes staff members' jobs easier and more effective, rather than simply adding to their burden.

6.4.1: My organization periodically assesses whether the information we collect, analyze, and use continues to have high value and relevance. We can cite specific cases in which we added or deleted metrics based on what we have learned.

6.4.2: When possible, my organization engages in constructive dialogue with funders about their data requirements to ensure that the data we collect are meaningful to both our organization and the funder.

**Principle 6.5:** The board, management, and staff **draw extensively on lessons from organizational assessments and evaluations of like programs** serving similar causes or populations.

6.5.1: My organization invests in an evaluation and learning function to stay abreast of research and assessments/evaluations of similar programs. We use staff meetings, workshops, and other communication channels to share relevant findings.

6.5.2: My organization periodically brings in outside experts to brief our management and staff on the latest discoveries, trends in the field, and areas where we can improve.

Now that you've had a chance to carefully work your way through each proof point, we encourage you to take a **step back and reflect** on your organization's overall progress on **Pillar 6**.

Where are you excelling? Where are you falling short of your own expectations? What two or three actions could you take in the next 12 months to lead to the biggest improvement on your Pillar 6 self-assessment the next time around?

Given the importance of human capital for making progress on Pillar 6 do you have the "right people in the right seats" in the words of *Good to Great* author Jim Collins? What more could you do to develop the talent you have and find the additional talent you need? What talent actions would likely lead to the greatest improvements on your Pillar 6 self-assessment the next time around?

What additional resources or support do you need?

---

# GLOSSARY

---

This glossary provides explanations of terms we used in this pillar. While not exhaustive, it includes terms that may have multiple meanings, due to different perspectives.

**Evaluation** – The systematic assessment, usually conducted by outside experts, of an organization’s attempt to produce significant change through intentional actions. For information on the two key types of evaluations, see “Formative evaluation” and “Summative evaluation” below.<sup>1</sup>

**Formative evaluation** – An evaluation organizations commission to help them improve the performance of a program while it is underway. Also called *process evaluation*. Formative evaluations can be designed to assess any of the following aspects of program delivery: the quality of internal data; the fidelity of a program to its model; how well a program is recruiting and enrolling the population for which it is designed; program utilization, program completion, and participant engagement; and which clients achieve the intended outcomes, which do not, and which exit the program prematurely.

**Outcomes** – Socially meaningful changes for those served by a program, generally defined in terms of expected changes in knowledge, skills, attitudes, behavior, condition, or status. For example, a tutoring program might define its intended outcomes as measurable improvements in reading and math skills.<sup>2</sup>

**Summative evaluation** – Evaluation of a program in its later stages or after it has been completed to (a) assess its impact (b) identify the factors that affected its performance (c) assess the sustainability of its results, and (d) draw lessons that may inform other interventions. Summative evaluations are almost always performed by outside experts.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Adapted from Hunter, David E. K. (2013). *Working Hard and Working Well: A Practical Guide to Performance Management for Leaders Serving Children, Adults, and Families*. Hartford CT: Hunter Consulting.

<sup>2</sup> Adapted from Morino, M. (2011). *Leap of Reason: Managing to Outcomes in an Era of Scarcity*. L. Weiss & Collins, C. (Eds.). Washington, DC: Venture Philanthropy Partners, in partnership with McKinsey & Company.

<sup>3</sup> Adapted from USAID (2009). *Glossary of Evaluation Terms*. Washington, DC: Planning and Performance Management Unit, Office of the Director of U.S. Foreign Assistance, United States Agency for International Development. Retrieved from [http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\\_docs/Pnado820.pdf](http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/Pnado820.pdf)